### Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: December 7 & 8, 1995. Time of Meeting: 0900–1700, 7 December 1995, 0900–1600, 8 December 1995.

Place: Fort Monmouth, NJ.

Agenda: The Army Science Board's (ASB) Ad Hoc Study on "The Impact of Information Warfare on Army Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C41) Systems" will meet for two days (8/9 November 1995) to hear briefings relative to the subject under study. These meetings will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings. For further information, please contact Michelle Diaz at (703) 695–0781.

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 95–26541 Filed 10–25–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

## Army Science Board; Notice of Closed Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Army Science Board (ASB).

Date of Meeting: December 14 & 15, 1995.

Time of Meeting: 0900-1700, December 14, 1995, 1000-1700, December 15, 1995.

Place: Pentagon—Washington, DC. Agenda: The Army Science Board's (ASB) Ad Hoc Study on "The Impact of Information Warfare on Army Command, Control, Communications, Computers and Intelligence (C4I) Systems" will meet to hear briefings relative to the subject under study. These meetings will be closed to the public

in accordance with Section 552b(c) of title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (4) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The proprietary matters to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of these meetings. For further information, please contact Michelle Diaz at (703) 695–0781. Michelle P. Diaz.

Acting Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 95-26542 Filed 10-25-95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

#### Yakima Training Center Cultural and Natural Resources Committee (Technical Committee)

**AGENCY:** Headquarters, I Corps and Fort Lewis, Fort Lewis, Washington, Department of the Army, Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Yakima Training Center Cultural and Natural Resources Committee—Technical Committee.

Date of Meeting: November 9, 1995. Place: Yakima Training Center, Building 266, Yakima, Washington. Time: 1 p.m.

Proposed Agenda: Cultural and Natural Resources Management Plan review, Cascade Sage. All proceedings are open.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen Hart, Chief, Civil Law, (206) 967–0793.

Gregory D. Showalter, Army Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 95–26608 Filed 10–25–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

# Yakima Training Center Cultural and Natural Resources Committee (Policy Committee)

**AGENCY:** Headquarters, I Corps and Fort Lewis, Fort Lewis, Washington. **ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting:

Name of Committee: Yakima Training Center Cultural and Natural Resources Committee—Policy Committee.

Date of Meeting: November 16, 1995. Place: Yakima Training Center, Building 266, Yakima, Washington.

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Proposed Agenda: Cultural and Natural Resources Management Plan review, Cascade Sage. All proceedings are open.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephen Hart, Chief, Civil Law, (206) 967–0793.

Gregory D. Showalter,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 95–26609 Filed 10–25–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710–08–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

### Notice of Proposed Information Collection Requests

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of proposed information collection requests.

**SUMMARY:** The Director, Information Resources Group, invites comments on the proposed information collection requests as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before December 26, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection requests should be addressed to Patrick J. Sherrill, Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 5624, Regional Office Building 3, Washington, DC 20202–4651, or should be electronic mailed to the internet address #FIRB@ed.gov, or should be faxed 202–708–9346.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Patrick J. Sherrill (202) 708–8196. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 3506 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Department of Education (ED) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) may amend or waive the requirement for public consultation to the extent that public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform its statutory obligations. The Director of the Information Resources Group, publishes this notice containing proposed information collection requests at the beginning of the Department review of the information collection. Each proposed information collection, grouped by office, contains the following: (1) Type of review requested, e.g., new, revision, extension, existing or reinstatement; (2) Title; (3) Summary of the collection; (4) Description of the need for, and proposed use of, the information; (5) Respondents and frequency of collection; and (6) Reporting and/or Recordkeeping burden. ED invites public comment at the address specified above. Copies of the requests are available from Patrick J. Sherrill at the address specified above

The Department of Education is especially interested in public comment addressing the following issues: (1) is this collection necessary to the proper functions of the Department, (2) will this information be processed and used in a timely manner, (3) is the estimate of burden accurate, (4) how might the Department enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (5) how might the Department minimize the burden of this collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: October 20, 1995.

Gloria Parker,

Director, Information Resources Group.

Office of Postsecondary Education

Type of Review: New. Title: Repayment Plan Selection. Frequency: On Occasion. Affected Public: Individual or households.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden

Reporting Burden: Responses: 525,000. Burden Hours: 173,250.

Abstract: Borrowers in the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program will use this form to choose a repayment plan for their loans(s).

[FR Doc. 95–26565 Filed 10–25–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

## Arbitration Panel Decision Under the Randolph-Sheppard Act

**AGENCY:** Department of Education. **ACTION:** Notice of arbitration panel decision under the Randolph-Sheppard Act.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that on January 25, 1995, an arbitration panel rendered a decision in the matter of *Robert Hill v. Michigan Commission for the Blind (Docket No. R–S/93–2).* This panel was convened by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education pursuant to 20 U.S.C. 107d–2, upon receipt of a complaint filed by Robert Hill.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A copy of the full text of the arbitration panel decision may be obtained from George F. Arsnow, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue SW., Room 3230, Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202–2738. Telephone: (202) 205–9317. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the TDD number at (202) 205–8298.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107d–2(c)), the Secretary publishes a synopsis of arbitration panel decisions affecting the administration of vending facilities on Federal and other property.

### Background

The complainant, Robert Hill, was granted a license and was assigned to operate a vending facility at the U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command (TACOM). Following his assignment, staff of the Michigan Commission for the Blind, the State licensing agency (SLA), made routine visits to complainant's vending facility.

On one of the routine visits, the staff person alleges that certain problems were found at the facility, which included outdated products being sold, lack of cleaning and upkeep of the area, and lack of timely filing of required reports. The SLA staff person also alleges that a number of complaints from officials at TACOM had been received and that these complaints were under investigation to confirm their validity.

The SLA staff person provided technical assistance to the vendor, making numerous suggestions and attempting to assist the vendor in increasing his profit percentage, which was below the norm established by the SLA. The SLA staff person encouraged Mr. Hill to contact other experienced vendors in the vending program for assistance. When no improvement was noted by the SLA staff person and Mr. Hill rejected offers of assistance, the business counselor recommended to the SLA that Mr. Hill's license be revoked as the result of the sanitation problems, the sale of outdated products, the failure to meet profit margin standards, and the late filing of reports.

On October 16, 1991 the SLA notified Mr. Hill that he was failing to comply with the vendor's operating license and agreement requirements and that license revocation proceedings were pending. Subsequently, Mr. Hill's license was revoked, and he requested and received a State fair hearing on April 27, 1992.

a State fair hearing on April 27, 1992. On July 30, 1992 the hearing officer rendered an opinion sustaining the SLA's decision to revoke Mr. Hill's vending license. The hearing officer considered Mr. Hill's argument that there was a personality conflict between himself and the SLA staff person. Mr. Hill alleged that the conflict was due to his racial ethnicity and that this was the reason for the revocation of his license. The hearing officer ruled that this argument was not credible. Testimony at the hearing indicated that numerous attempts had been made by the SLA to provide technical assistance and training to Mr. Hill and to assist him in reaching the 25 percent profit margin requirement. Mr. Hill further stated that he was not given sufficient opportunities to bid on other locations after his license revocation.

On March 12, 1993 Mr. Hill filed a complaint requesting that the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Education convene a Federal arbitration panel to review the hearing officer's decision, which was adopted as final agency action by the SLA. The complaint was heard by the arbitration panel on September 15 and 26, 1994.

### **Arbitration Panel Decision**

The arbitration panel ruled on three issues as follows: (1) Whether the SLA discriminated against the complainant on the basis of his race. (2) Whether the complainant was given sufficient notice